PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
203P00559WO						
International application No.		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
	PCT/EP2004/003885 13.04.2004 10.04.2003					
Internation	onal Patent Classification (IPC) or nati	onal classification and IPC				
Ameliaan	4					
Applican	BOSCH UND SIEMENS	R HATICCEDÄTTE CMPH	Ì			
5311	BOSCII OND STEPEN	MAUSGERATE GMBN				
1.	This report is the international prelir under Article 35 and transmitted to the		nis International Preliminary Examining Authority			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of	6 sheets, inclu	ding this cover sheet.			
3.	This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:				
	a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
1	sheets of the descrip	otion, claims and/or drawings which have be	en amended and are the basis for this report and/or			
	sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental					
Ì	Box.					
	b (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and nu	mber of electronic carrier(s))			
ļ	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables					
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4.	This report contains indications relati	ing to the following items:				
	Box No. I Basis of the	: report				
	Box No. II Priority	•				
		ishment of opinion with regard to novelty, in	ventive etca and industrial applicability			
			ventive step and industrial applicationity			
	∇	ity of invention	analter instanting stan as industrial applicability			
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI Certain doo	cuments cited				
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report						
			-			
Name a	nd mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.				

Translation

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003885

Box	No. I Basis of the report					
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the internat indicated under this item.	ional application in the language in wh	ich it was filed, unless otherwise			
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
	publication of the international application (Rule 12	•				
	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 ar	,				
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
	the description:					
:	pages 1-7		as originally filed/furnished			
	pages*	received by this Authority on				
	pages*					
	the claims:	_				
	nos. 1-15		as originally filed/furnished			
	nos.*		with any statement) under Article 19			
	nos.*		•			
1	nos.*					
	the drawings:					
	sheets 1/1		as originally filed/furnished			
	sheets*					
	shects*					
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppl		ing.			
1			······································			
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	the description, pages					
	the claims, nos.					
	the drawings, sheets/figs					
	the sequence listing (specify):					
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the ame they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure a	s filed, as indicated in the Supplementa	al Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
	the description, pages					
	the claims, nos.					
	the drawings, sheets/figs					
	the sequence listing (specify):					
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
٠	If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."					

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003885

Box 1	No. V			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive soporting such statement	step or industrial applicability;	
1.	Statement					
	Novelty	(N)	Claims	1-15		_ YES
			Claims			NO
	Inventive step (IS)		Claims	1-15		_ YES
						_ NO
	Industria	al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-15		_ YES
			Claims			- NO
2.	Citations an	d explanations (Rule	70.7)			
	1.	This repo	rt re	fers to the following	documents	
	cited in the search report; the numbering will be					
	retained throughout the proceedings:					
		D1: EP-A	1 −1 38	2 914 (ALBATROS APPLI	ED	
		TECH	INOLOG	IES) 21 January 2004	(2004-01-21)	
		D2: US-A	A-4 55	2 059 (POTTER GARY J)	12 November	
		1985	(198	5-11-12)		
		D3: DE 9	91 01	095 U (INVENT GMBH) 1	18 April 1991	
		(199	91-04-	18)		
				333 A (BAUER ARMIN;		
				RTNER ERICH (DE)) 6 I	December 2001	
		(200	01-12-	-06)		
		Novelty a	and in	ventive step		
	2. The closest prior art, document D2, shows an					
		extractor hood with an air intake feed and an				
	outgoing air suction port, which each comprise a ventilator. Furthermore, both the air intake feed					
				·		
	_			ing air suction port rerence detection device		

which has a pressure gauge inside the air intake

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003885

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

feed or outgoing air suction port and one outside the appliance. D2 does not show any pressure gauges outside the room in which the extractor hood is fitted, or outside the building. D1 therefore does not prejudice the novelty of claims 1, 2 or 12.

In addition, the object of D2 is different: the determined pressure differences are used only to determine the incoming and outgoing quantities of air with the aim of setting both at the same level in order to prevent, for example, the unnecessary discharge of warm air contained in the room. D2 therefore does not contain anything to suggest the subject matter of claims 1, 2 and 12.

- 3. The prior art also looks at the problem of low pressure in rooms with open fireplaces (see D6) and as a solution D3, for example, proposes a device which measures the pressure difference and detects when the pressure falls below a non-critical value and then warns the user. There is nothing, however, to indicate reducing the conveying capacity of the extractor hood until the pressure level in the room has again reached a non-critical level.
- 4. From this preliminary examination, independent claims 1, 2 and 12 appear to meet the PCT requirements in respect of novelty and inventive step.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003885

Box	No. VI	Certain documents cited			
1.	Certain pub	lished documents (Rule 70.10)			
		Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
		1 atom 110.	(sus, montus year)	(way monneyear)	
2.	Non-writte	n disclosures (Rule 70.9)			
		721 1 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Date of non-written disc	D	ate of written disclosure ing to non-written disclosure
		Kind of non-written disclosure	(day/month/year)		(day/month/year)
Ì					
ļ					
Ì					
Se	e Sup	plemental Box			

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003885

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

5. The applicant should note that the validity of the priority of the application has not been checked.

Document D1 (filed on 25 March 2003, published on 21 January 2004, filing date of priority document DE10232992 of 19 July 2002) is not important to the international examination provided that the priority claimed by the application, DE10316571, is valid.

D1 may, however, be used in a subsequent European phase with regard to lack of novelty (EPC Article 54(3)).